

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/473,165		12/28/1999	YOSHIHIKO HIGUCHI	20111-0035	9663	
	23594 7	7590 03/06/2002				
	JOHN S. PRA			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
	1100 PEACHT	STOCKTON LLP REE		CROSS, LA	ATOYA I	
	SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1743 DATE MAILED: 03/06/2002	X	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	6		NF-14			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/473,165	HIGUCHI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LaToya I. Cross	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: 6-8 and 10-13.	,					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:						
	·					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants continue to argue that there is a significant difference between using embedded light shielding particles and using dispersed light shielding particles. Applicant's declaration is more directed to proving an unclaimed feature of a method for using the dry measuring test device. The declaration seeks to explain the time difference in using embedded particles over dispersed polymers, which is an unclaimed limitation and is more involved with how the device is used. The claims in the instant invention are directed to the device, itself, not to methods of using. Thus, Applicant's arguments are deemed non-persuasive.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700